

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			L. TORNITH POCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/709,103	11/08/2000	Mary Cismowski	60388-A-PCT-US/JPW/GJG/JE	2867
	10/02/2002		EXAM	NER
John P. White Cooper & Dunl 1185 Avenue o	nam LLP		SULLIVAN,	DANIEL M
New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636 DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/709,103	CISMOWSKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel Sullivan	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w		ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of this eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm  ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		merite is
Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims	idel Expans quaying	natters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ments 15
4) Claim(s) 1-7 14-16 and 42-51 is/are pend	ting in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
ry Claim(a) is/are objected to.			
7) ☐ Claim(s) Israe Objected the 8) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,14-16 and 42-51</u> are subject	t to restriction and/or election	n requirement.	
Application Papers			
and the exercision is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	w the Evaminer	
= is/are: a)	l accepted or b)∐ objected to t	Devance See 37 CFR 1 85(a)	
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be field in all	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  disapproved by the Examine	er.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	Tookhing of all mo Training	
If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ше ехапшет.		
		C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	toreign priority under 35 U.S.	2.0. 3 110(a)-(a) or (i).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
Continue on the priority doc	cuments have been received	in Application No	
was a size of the priority doc	cuments have been received	III Application No	\ Stage
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	the priority documents have to onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2) or a list of the certified copies	(a)). s not received.	
A stroughdament is made of a claim for o	domestic priority under 35 U.	.5.C. 9 119(e) (to a provisions	al application).
a) The translation of the foreign langu	provisional annuluduon i	1103 00011 100011	
Attachment(s)			'o(c)
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	D-948) 5) 🔲 Not		
Of David and Transmort Office	Office Asking Commons	Part	of Paper No. 14

Application/Control Number: 09/709,103

Art Unit: 1636

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7 and 14-16, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding an AGS protein, and vector and host cell comprising said nucleic acid molecule, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- II. Claims 42-46, 50 and 51, drawn to a method for modulating G protein coupled signal transduction in a cell comprising contacting a cell with an agent which modulates AGS protein activity, wherein the AGS protein activity is stimulated, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- III. Claims 42, 43 and 47-51, drawn to a method for modulating G protein coupled signal transduction in a cell comprising contacting a cell with an agent which modulates AGS protein activity, wherein the AGS protein activity is inhibited, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I is related to inventions II and III as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acids of invention I can be used in processes that are materially different from those set forth in Inventions II and III, such as a

Application/Control Number: 09/709,103

Art Unit: 1636

hybridization assay, and the processes as claimed can be practiced with materially different products such as chemical modulators of AGS activity.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions II and III are distinct. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not capable of use together because one is a method of stimulating and the other is a method of inhibiting the same cellular process. Likewise, their functionally distinct, Invention III is stimulatory and invention III is inhibitory.

Claim 42 link(s) inventions II and III. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 42. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 09/709,103

Art Unit: 1636

The scope of claims 43, 50 and 51 embraces Inventions I and II. Therefore, the claims will be examined to the extent that they read on the elected invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel M Sullivan whose telephone number is 703-305-4448. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel can be reached on 703-305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-9105 for regular communications and 703-746-9105 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/709,103

Art Unit: 1636

dms September 30, 2002

JAMES KETTER PRIMARY EXAMINER